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### STATE MEASURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>SCHOOL FACILITIES. 55% LOCAL VOTE. BONDS, TAXES. ACCOUNTABILITY REQUIREMENTS. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND STATUTE. Authorizes bonds for repair, construction or replacement of school facilities, classrooms, if approved by 55% local vote. Fiscal impact: Increased bond debt for many school districts. Long-term costs statewide could total in the hundreds of millions of dollars annually. Potential longer-term state savings to the extent school districts assume greater responsibility for funding school facilities.</td>
<td>105  YES 106 NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COUNTY MEASURE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>CHARTER AMENDMENT. INCREASE IN NUMBER OF COUNTY SUPERVISORS. Shall the Board of Supervisors be increased from five to nine members as detailed in the ordinance adopting the proposed charter amendment.</td>
<td>111  YES 112 NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CITY

#### PASADENA CITY SPECIAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Vote</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>Shall the Charter of the City of Pasadena be amended to increase the size of the Board of Education from five (5) to seven (7) members?</td>
<td>115  YES 116 NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB</td>
<td>Shall the Charter of the City of Pasadena be amended to provide for the nomination and election of members of the Board of Education by geographic sub-districts, effective upon the enactment of enabling legislation by the State, with geographic sub-districts to be drawn after each federal census by a commission composed of members appointed by the Cities of Madre, the Board of Education and the County Supervisor representing the area?</td>
<td>117  YES 118 NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>Shall the Charter of the City of Pasadena be amended to require that the President of the Board of Education address the people each September on the state of the Pasadena Unified School District to articulate its goals and objectives for the school year and to report on the progress of the District in achieving its prior goals and objectives, including the financial state of the schools?</td>
<td>120  YES 121 NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DD</td>
<td>ADVISORY VOTE ONLY. Shall the voters of the Pasadena Unified School District adopt the Reform Plan of the Charter Reform Task Force as a blueprint for the schools and, consistent with its terms, call upon the Board of Education to provide an accounting, within six months, of the Plan’s implementation and direct the City Council to reconvene the Task Force within one year to assess the progress of the Plan’s implementation?</td>
<td>123  YES 124 NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DISTRICT

#### UPPER SAN GABRIEL VALLEY MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Member, Board of Directors, Division 2 Vote for One</th>
<th>Donald J. Falkenberg</th>
<th>128 YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Salesman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Frank F. Forbes</td>
<td>129 YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Civil Engineer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

END OF BALLOT
ANALYSIS OF MEASURE A

By Lloyd W. Pellman, County Counsel

The Charter of the County of Los Angeles currently divides the County into five equally populated supervisorial districts and provides for the election of one Supervisor from each district.

Approval of this measure would divide the County into nine equally populated supervisorial districts and would provide for the election of one Supervisor from each newly constituted district. Subject to preclearance by the Department of Justice under Section 3(c) of the federal Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. 1973a(c), the measure would become fully operative December 2, 2002, when all nine Supervisors would be in office.

At the general election held in November 2002, and every four years thereafter, Supervisors would be elected in the First, Third, and in the new Sixth, Seventh, Eighth and Ninth supervisorial districts, whose terms of office would begin at noon on the first Tuesday in December, 2002. Supervisors in the Second, Fourth and Fifth supervisorial districts would next be elected at the general election held in November 2004, and every four years thereafter, whose terms of office would begin at noon on the first Tuesday in December, 2004.

This measure would reduce the length of time during which a prospective candidate must reside within his or her district from one year to thirty days before filing for office. Additionally, this measure would provide that the budget adopted by the Board of Supervisors in Fiscal Year 2003–2004 for its staffing and operations, including the staffing and operations of the Executive Office of the Board and any other agency which provides ancillary support services for the operation of the Board, would become a benchmark. In each subsequent fiscal year, the amount budgeted for such staffing and operations would not exceed nor be less than that benchmark, subject to an increase limited to the cost of living.

This measure requires a majority vote for passage.

The above statement is an impartial analysis of Measure A. If you desire a copy of the measure, please call the Los Angeles County Registrar–Recorder/County Clerk at (562) 466–1310, and a copy will be mailed at no cost to you.
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE A

Hold Your County Supervisor Accountable – Vote Yes on Measure A.

Los Angeles County has 10 million residents and a $15 BILLION budget.

A five–member Board of Supervisors runs County government, even though it is the largest county in the nation, LARGER THAN MOST STATES!

This means each Board member must represent TWO MILLION PEOPLE.

Five representatives made sense when the Board was created in 1852 and the population was less than 5,000. TODAY it is NONSENSE!

The five supervise and allot money to 38 county departments, including:

The Sheriff;
The Fire and Emergency/Trauma system;
Parks, Beaches, the Performing Arts Center, Libraries, Museums;
The largest Jail facilities in the nation

When the five Supervisors pass a law, it’s final — there is no veto because there is no separation of powers. The five hold executive, legislative and quasi–judicial powers — more than the President of the United States.

The same five serve as local government for one million residents of unincorporated areas and provide all their public services.

On November 7, make County government more accountable, efficient, representative and accessible by voting to reduce the number of people each Supervisor serves.

Measure A requires that each Board member’s budget be reduced and have cost controls.

Smaller districts mean that residents in more distant areas — Santa Clarita, Southbay; the Antelope, San Gabriel, Pomona and San Fernando Valleys — will have a representative who knows their local interests.

Smaller districts mean that high density areas will receive more individual attention from their County representative.

VOTE YES for nine Smaller, More Representative and Accessible County districts.

VOTE YES to hold your County Supervisor More Responsive to your concerns.

(Continued on next page)
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE A (Continued)

VOTE YES to reduce each Supervisor’s budget.

VOTE YES TO GET A STRONGER VOICE IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY GOVERNMENT!!

SALLY SEVEN
President, League of Women Voters of Los Angeles County

SETH HUFSTEDLER
Past Chairman, Los Angeles County Bar Commission on County Government

GLORIA MOLINA
Supervisor, First District

YVONNE BRATHWAITE BURKE
Supervisor, Second District

WEN P. CHANG
Councilmember, City of Diamond Bar
REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE A

Measure A means MORE POLITICIANS, NOT GOVERNMENT REFORM! If Measure A passes, instead of five elected County Supervisors we will have nine Supervisors, nine staffs, nine sets of salaries and nine office suites.

Why do we need more Supervisors? The United States and California have grown in population, yet we are not doubling the number of United States Senators or Members of Congress. We are not doubling California’s State Legislature.

Measure A does NOT require that each Board member’s budget be reduced. Under Measure A, there is NO LIMIT to the budget of any Board Office.

Proponents of Measure A say the Board of Supervisors functions poorly because there is no separation of powers in County government. That won’t change under Measure A! Almost doubling the size of the Board will slow down County government and make it harder, not easier, for the public to know what’s going on. We still won’t have checks and balances – WE’LL JUST HAVE MORE POLITICIANS!

We don’t even know what the nine Districts created under Measure A will look like. In fact, the final boundaries will be decided by bureaucrats at the Department of Justice in Washington, D.C.

Measure A was orchestrated by a group of TERMED-OUT STATE LEGISLATORS who need new offices to run for. THEY get the benefits...WE pay the costs!

Measure A isn’t about reform – it’s about increasing County costs and creating more jobs for politicians. Voters have rejected this ill-considered scheme five times in the past.

VOTE NO ON “A.”

ZEV YAROSLAVSKY
Los Angeles County Supervisor, Third District

FRANK ROBERTS
Mayor, City of Lancaster

RACHEL MONTES
Mayor, City of El Monte

JON COUPAL
President, Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association

DAVID FLEMING
Chairman, San Fernando Valley Economic Alliance
ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE A

Nearly doubling the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors from 5 to 9 members increases the size and cost of government at the expense of vital services to the taxpayers.

It does not add one more Sheriff’s Deputy, firefighter, child abuse worker, doctor, nurse, park or public library.

The 10 million residents of our County’s 88 cities and 137 unincorporated communities already have over 1,000 elected officials representing them.

Our problem is not a shortage of politicians. It is the dysfunctional financing of local government because of the State Legislature’s failure to return property taxes to our Counties and Cities.

The State Legislature’s continued “hijacking” of over 15 billion dollars in property taxes from California’s 58 counties and cities to balance the State’s budget, causes Los Angeles County taxpayers to lose one billion dollars each year, which would be used to improve vital services and the quality of life.

Bigger government is not better government, as evidenced by the chaotic Los Angeles City Council’s 15 members and Mayor – which costs taxpayers nearly $7.00 each year, while the 5 Supervisors cost each County taxpayer about $1.70.

Those supporting an expanded Board include termed-out State politicians, hoping to remain in office by being elected to an expanded Board of Supervisors, and special interests.

Voters have overwhelmingly rejected expanding the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors 5 times since 1922 — most recently in 1992.

VOTE NO to MORE BUREAUCRATS – GOVERNMENT WASTE – GOVERNMENT GRIDLOCK.

VOTE NO to expanding the County Board of Supervisors

MICHAEL D. ANTONOVICH
County Supervisor, 5th District

WILLIAM A. BURKE
President, City of Los Angeles Marathon

JON COUPAL
President, Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association

WESLEY RU
Business Person

YOLANDA NAVA
Broadcast Journalist and Author
REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE A

The opponents of Measure A are playing the "Blame Game", blaming the Legislature for the lack of sufficient law enforcement, fire protection, health care, and libraries.

We know the truth. With only five Supervisors representing a county of nearly ten million people, essential services too often take a back seat.

We all know that too much power in too few hands is ineffective. Also, with current election costs of over $2 million, not one sitting elected supervisor has been defeated for re-election in the last twenty years.

Without the check of a possible challenge, our Supervisors are unable to make our voice effectively heard in Sacramento and Washington. Our safety, our children, our families, and our communities pay the price.

Enough is enough.

Measure A forces a breakup of the Supervisors’ centralized, unbridled power and disperses that power without adding one cent in costs to taxpayers.

Measure A requires that each Board Member’s budget be reduced and imposes cost controls.

Measure A provides for small supervisorial districts, greater access to your supervisor, and fairer representation.

Measure A gives the San Fernando Valley, the Antelope Valley and Santa Clarita area, and the San Gabriel Valley an opportunity to elect their own supervisor who will live in their community.

Please join us in taking back our County government by supporting Measure A.

ROBERT HERTZBERG
Speaker of the Assembly

SETH HUFSTEDLER
Past President of Los Angeles County Bar Ass’n and
Past Chairman of Los Angeles County Bar Commission on
County Government

FERNANDO OAXACA
Chair - Latino Republican Coalition

CATHIE WRIGHT
State Senator

ROY L. BURNS
President, Association for Los Angeles Deputy Sheriffs
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