



Election Integrity Project® *California*
EVERY LAWFULLY CAST VOTE ACCURATELY COUNTED

A 501(c)(3) Tax-Exempt, Nonpartisan
Public Benefit Organization

“Changing Voter ID Practices: How and Why”

EIPCa Voter ID Presentation

- **Facts and statistics presented are irrefutable results of 14 years of research and Incident Reports from thousands of highly trained elections observers submitted ‘under penalty of perjury’.**
- **EIPCa publicly accessible findings reports resulting from voter roll analysis are available on our website.**

www.eip-ca.com

**“Create a uniform photo ID
method to match the voter to
the voting roll”**

An **important recommendation**
from the
Jimmy Carter-James Baker
Essential Election Reform
Commission
2005

Note:

Claims that there is no evidence of election fraud are unfounded, because much of the evidence EIPCa has submitted has never been examined by those in authority.

VoteCal, the Statewide Database is
UNRELIABLE.

**That unreliability is one major
reason that Photo Voter ID should be
a top priority throughout the
election process**

Example of VoteCal's unreliability

**VoteCal recorded almost
124,000 more votes than voters
recorded as voting in the Nov. 3,
2020 Presidential Election**

Another Example of VoteCal's Unreliability

A review of the official list of voters and number of votes cast in the California **Nov. 8, 2022 Election** reveals

**43,624 more votes and over
22,000 more voters than the
numbers certified by the CA
Secretary of State.**

This calls into question the validity of the certification of the 2022 election.

- **Faulty and bloated voter rolls**
- **Mass mailing of ballots**
- **Unlimited ballot harvesting**

Enables impersonation

**Required Photo Voter ID will end
possibilities of voter
impersonation**

**“Absentee ballots remain the largest
source of potential voter fraud.”**

*** 2005 Carter-Baker Commission on Election Reform**

Consider:

**80.76% of Californians cast
Vote by Mail ballots in 2024 election**

In the November 8, 2022 Election

- **21,355 voters had two or three votes credited to their respective Registration ID numbers**
- **If these multi-votes are removed, there were still 22,210 more voters listed than the number of votes certified.**

Effective Voter ID/ ID Laws

- Only Gov. Issued Photo IDs
- Affidavits **NOT Acceptable**
- **ID Laws:**
 - Must have consequences
 - Must assist vulnerable populations obtain acceptable ID without cost
- * **Proof of U.S. Citizenship** to register

An effective Voter ID Law

- **Must have Consequences**
- **Must include provisions to assist vulnerable populations to obtain their Voter Photo ID at no cost to voter.**

The U.S. Supreme Court

Upholds Voter ID laws*

**“They are in the state’s interest to
prevent voter fraud.”**

**“They do NOT violate the ‘normal
burdens’ of voting”**

2008 Crawford v. Marion County Election BD.

**Photo Voter ID
Does NOT
Suppress the vote**

**Or negatively affect
voter turnout**

**Allegations of voter suppression
due to required voter ID have been
definitively debunked.**

Recent Gallop Polls Show:

84% of Americans across all political, racial and socio-economic groups strongly support all voters showing photo ID at the polls